

and City Council of Baltimore to take charge of and keep in repair, all highways and bridges within the city limits, reported the same with proposed amendment:

AMENDMENT PROPOSED.

Except in cases where such assumption and control may have been authorized by the original charter.

The question recurring upon the adoption of the amendment,

On motion of Mr. Gatch,

The yeas and nays were ordered and appeared, as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Meekins,	Ritter,
Beck,	Marbury,	Harris,
Kilbourn,	Wootton,	Ady,
Baldwin, of A. A.,	Duvall,	Hopkins,
Cameron,	Thomas, of Q. A.,	Hardcastle, of Car.
Gatch,	Brown,	Garey,
Choate,	Thomas, of Fred.,	Hilton,
Harrington,	Bowlus,	Gorman—23.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.	Hamilton,	Markland,
Latrobe, Speaker,	Cooper,	Marshall,
Martin,	Morse,	Hoblitzell,
Turner,	Blake,	Welty,
Hardcastle, of Tal.,	Webb,	Sword,
Brattan,	Wilson, of B. city,	Watkins,
Lankford,	Wiley,	Kean,
Touchstone,	McLane,	Wilson, of Alle.,
Owens,	Colton,	Standish,
Richards,	Kirk,	Myers,
Biddle,	Collins,	Percy,
Dennis,	Ehlen,	Crouse,
Purnell,	Gardner,	Merrick—39.
Sanner,		

So the amendment was rejected.

On motion of Mr. Merrick, (the rules being suspended,)

The House proceeded to the consideration of the bill entitled an Act to repeal section 4, of an Act passed January session, 1868, chapter 466, entitled an Act to run, define, and mark the dividing line between Prince George's and Montgomery counties, and to re-enact the same so as to read as follows.

Said bill having been rejected for want of a constitutional majority,